FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

APR 29 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JOHANNES ALEXANDER KULLIT,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 05-70414

Agency No. A96-026-782

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted April 22, 2008**

Before: GRABER, FISHER, and BERZON, Circuit Judges.

Johannes Alexander Kullit, a native and citizen of Indonesia, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision pretermitting his asylum application

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

and denying his applications for withholding of removal and protection under the Convention Against Torture ("CAT"). Our jurisdiction is governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review fact finding for substantial evidence, *Sael v. Ashcroft*, 386 F.3d 922, 924 (9th Cir. 2004). We deny the petition for review in part and dismiss it in part.

The record does not compel the conclusion that Kullit has shown extraordinary or changed circumstances to excuse the untimely filing of his asylum application. *See Ramadan v. Gonzales*, 479 F.3d 646, 657-58 (9th Cir. 2007) (per curiam); 8 C.F.R. § 208.4(a)(4), (5).

Substantial evidence supports the agency's determination that Kullit has not demonstrated the clear probability of future persecution required for withholding of removal. *See Hakeem v. INS*, 273 F.3d 812, 816-17 (9th Cir. 2001).

We lack jurisdiction to consider Kullit's contentions regarding CAT protection, because he did not raise them before the BIA. *See Barron v. Ashcroft*, 358 F.3d 674, 678 (9th Cir. 2004).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.